

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

APPLICATION NO	D. FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/270,780	C	03/17/1999	IKUO HIYAMA	503.36984X00	6984X00 2934	
20457	7590	09/20/2004		EXAMINER		
	ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP QI, ZHI QIA				QIANG	
1300 NOR SUITE 18		TEENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889				2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

))			
	Application No.	Applicant(s) HIYAMA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	09/270,780		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	Mike Qi	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this ap 1) a timely filed amendment	pplication. A proper rep which places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date see later than SIX MONTHS from the S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS edate on which the petition under of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for fice later than three months after the	mailing date of the final reject OF THE FINAL REJECTION. 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the app g amount of the fee. The app reply originally set in the final	tion See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension I Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or si	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding numbe	r of finally rejected claim	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		considered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLI	ELY to issues which wer	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-22,25,26,29,30,33 and 34</u> .		Λ	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved	d by the Examiner. 🖊	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ___

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

∵⁄ુ:ER

JŪ

SUPERWEARY P

TECH DLOGY AT

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Because: 1) the broad limitations such as "the light control axis of the light control element" can be any light control axis including a direction of a light reflection, light transmission or light birefringence as long as a light being controled; such that the reference Weber shows (col.9, lines 59-61; Fig.11) that the light transmitted by the optical structure layer (light control element) passing through the reflective polarizer at near normal angles (perpendicular); and also shows (col.9, lines 15-21; Figs.9-10) that the light having a correct polarization to match the transmission axis of the polarizer (parallel) as claimed in claims 1,13 and 20; 2) the reference Gunjima shows a principle to determine the reflection angle that is a hint to the skilled in the art as claimed in claims 11 and 19; 3) the reference Nakamura shows the ratio of the pixel length and breadth (3:1) as claimed in claims 25,29 and 33.